BEFORE THE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN

Application of Wisconsin Power and Light)	
Company and Wisconsin Electric Power)	
Company for a Certificate of Authority to)	
Install a Selective Catalytic Reduction)	5-CE-137
System for Nitrogen Oxide Removal on)	
Unit 5 at the Edgewater Generating)	
Station, Sheboygan County, Wisconsin)	

Second Prehearing Conference

Prehearing Conference Held

<u>Pages</u>

APPEARANCES

WISCONSIN POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

By

Michael S. Greiveldinger Scott R. Smith PO Box 77007 Madison, WI 53707-1007

WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

Ву

Kate Phillips (via speakerphone) Paul Farron (via speakerphone) 231 West Michigan Street Milwaukee, WI 53203

CITIZENS UTILITY BOARD and CLEAN WISCONSIN

Both by

Kira Loehr Cullen Weston Pines & Bach 122 West Washington Avenue, Suite 900 Madison, WI 53703

SIERRA CLUB

Ву

Pamela McGillivray (via speakerphone) McGillivray Westerberg & Bender LLC 634 West Main Street, Suite 101 Madison, WI 53703

FOR THE COMMISSION:

Diane M. Ramthun, Assistant General Counsel Office of General Counsel

OF THE COMMISSION STAFF:

Scot Cullen Jim Lepinski

Gas and Energy Division

1	BEFORE THE
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN
3	
4	Application of Wisconsin Power and Light)
5	Company and Wisconsin Electric Power)
6	Company for a Certificate of Authority to)
7	Install a Selective Catalytic Reduction) 05-CE-137
8	System for Nitrogen Oxide Removal on)
9	Unit 5 at the Edgewater Generating Station,)
10	Sheboygan County, Wisconsin)
11	
12	Second prehearing conference was held August 13,
13	2009, at 9:30 am in the Public Service Commission Office
14	Building, Madison.
15	
16	Presiding: ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE NEWMARK
17	
18	ALJ NEWMARK: Let's get on the record.
19	We're on the record in docket 05-CE-137, the
20	Application of Wisconsin Power and Light and Wisconsin
21	Electric Power Company for a Certificate of Authority to
22	Install a Selective Catalytic Reduction System for
23	Nitrogen Oxide Removal on Unit 5 of the Edgewater
24	Generating Station. This is the second prehearing to
25	discuss scheduling. It's about 9:45. This was

1	scheduled for 9:30. We've had some formal discussion
2	for about 15 minutes.
3	After listening to observing that discussion
4	and listening to the positions of the participants, we
5	have a number of proposals, and we're going to I am
6	going to order a schedule what we've been discussing as
7	staff's original proposal.
8	When I indicate that parties and staff have
9	worked hard to try to accommodate each other, staff work
L 0	load is extensive at this point and looking at both
L 1	staff workload and how the record can best be created in
12	order to provide enough time between prefiled testimony
13	deadlines and considering the workload of staff, I think
L4	the staff's original proposal is going to be the most
L5	reasonable for that purpose of creating an accurate,
L6	complete record which would benefit us all and will make
L7	the ultimately expedite the proceeding to the best of
L8	our ability.
L9	Somebody read out the dates here. We have the
20	applicant's direct testimony, October 20.
21	Staff and intervenor direct testimony,
22	December 8.
23	All rebuttal testimony, December 22.
24	Surrebuttal, January 12.

Technical hearing -- let me correct that. We

will just call it the hearing, January 19.

All the filing deadlines will be at noon, and just to note that at the hearing people can testify that aren't parties. Since we're just going to do that one day, we will call it a hearing. I will take comments from the members of the public at the beginning of that time.

Do you have any other issues?

MR. GREIVELDINGER: Your Honor, if I may just for the record reiterate WPL's concern.

ALJ NEWMARK: Go ahead.

MR. GREIVELDINGER: WPL is concerned about the hearing date. We're concerned relative to our construction schedule. One of the points we brought up in the off-the-record discussion and conversations with staff on other occasions, the later schedule could have potential risks relative to further reduction of floating the schedule and potentially needing to expedite to ensure, depending on the Commission's decision on the project, can be completed in a timely basis to meet regulatory requirements.

We also had floated the idea of setting a briefing schedule now and indicated that WPL would be seeking a quick briefing schedule. We intend to do so at the hearing.

1	ALJ NEWMARK: Okay. Thanks.
2	Any other comments?
3	No? Other issues? I just want to let people
4	know I will be issuing another prehearing memo, and that
5	memo has evolved over time since the last one that was
6	issued in this case. I have been inserting some general
7	requirements for filing filing standards and some
8	other rules of procedure. They've been acceptable in
9	all the other cases. I am going to issue it, and I can
10	entertain requests to reconsider particular items if
11	something comes up as a problem. Since you haven't
12	gotten a chance to look at it in advance which I have
13	been giving in other proceedings, parties have gotten a
14	chance to look at it in advance, things like how to
15	file, where to put page numbers, a lot of it is minutia
16	that helps the prefiling process. So I will issue it,
17	and I will allow some time for parties to comment.
18	Otherwise, it will just go without comment, and it will
19	just be effective.
20	So any other comments?
21	No? Okay. We're adjourned, and January 19, see
22	you then.
23	(Prehearing conference closed)
24	aff
25	August 14, 2009

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN

Docket No. 5-CE-137

Second Prehearing Conference

I, Adela F. Felic, hereby certify that, as an official reporter for the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, I took down the proceedings had before the Commission in the foregoing matter on the 13th day of August, 2009, and that the attached is a true and correct transcription of the said notes and of the whole thereof.

adela F. Felic

Reporter

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN